



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,683	09/08/2003	Joerg Singler	000005-006100US	2129
58735 7590 07/13/2009 Fountainhead Law Group P.C. 900 LAFAYETTE STREET SUITE 200 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				
EXAMINER				
VERDI, KIMBLEANN C				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2194				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/13/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/658,683

Applicant(s)

SINGLER ET AL.

Examiner

KimbleAnn Verdi

Art Unit

2194

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KimbleAnn Verdi.

(3) _____.

(2) Chad R. Walsh.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hoennig et al. (U.S. Patent 7,003,773 B2) and Schechter et al. U.S. Patent (7,380,250 B)2.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 21-25 and 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 1 and 25. Applicant's proposed arguments with regards to claims 1 and 25 appear to be persuasive and proposed amendment with regard to claim 1 appears to overcome the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hyung S. SOUGH/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2194